



APPLICATION NO.

09/696,801

SUITE 500

SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2332

25225

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/25/2000 Lee A. Bulla JR. 48279-3USPT 3203 EXAMINER 7590 04/20/2004 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP CLOW, LORI A 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE

> 1631 DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/696,801	BULLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 l</u>	December 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 26, 34, 36, 38-41, 47, 48, 60, 61, 64-66, 72, 73, 81, and 82 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 13,14,16,17,19,25,26,34,36,38-41,47,48,60,61,64-66,72,73,81 and 82.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,801

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 01 December 2003, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 26, 34, 36, 38-41, 47, 48, 60, 61, 64-66, 72, 73, 81, and 82 are currently pending.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph over claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 26, 34, 36, 39-41, 47, 48, 60, 61, 64-66, 72, 73, 81, and 82 dated 26 August 2003 has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's response filed 01 December 2003.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph over claims 13, 34, and 60 and dependent claims dated 26 August 2003 has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's response filed 01 December 2003.

The rejection under 35 USC 103 over claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 26, 34, 36, 39-41, 47, 48, 60, 61, 64-66, 72, 73, 81, and 82 dated 26 August 2003, has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's response dated 01 December 2003.

The below cited rejections are newly applied and therefore, this Office Action is nonfinal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,801

Art Unit: 1631

Claim 38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

Claim 38 is drawn to a system of claim 36 further comprising an apparatus that clones genetic material using one or more primers. There is no description of such an apparatus in the instant application, nor does that prior art provide guidance on such an apparatus. The prior art teaches biological assays in which material may be cloned with human intervention, such as by use of amplified material and vectors. However, it is not conventionally known that an apparatus may perform such cloning.

The issue of a lack of adequate written description may arise even for an original claim when an aspect of the claimed invention has not been described with sufficient particularity such that one skilled in the art would recognize that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed invention as a whole may not be adequately described if the claims require an essential or critical feature which is not adequately described in the specification and which is not conventional in the art or known to one of ordinary skill in the art. MPEP 2163 [R-1] I. A.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,801

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 26, 34, 36, 38-41, 47, 48, 60, 61, 64-66, 72, 73, 81, and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13 and 60 recite "designing one or more primers based on matching portions of the aligned prioritized sequences to target said first nucleotide sequence". It is unclear which portions have to be matched in order to target the first nucleotide sequence. For instance, portions that match could include just one nucleotide and it would seem unlikely that any relevant result would come from a portion with only one matching base pair.

No claims are allowed.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242, or (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524, or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

April 13, 2004 Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. Art Unit 1631

Lorit Cloud

MARJORIE MORAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Mayory a Moran

4/19/04